

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Ratent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,548	*	11/24/2003	Tatsumi Tsutsui	19546.0001	1263
23517	7590	12/05/2005		EXAMINER	
SWIDLE	R BERLI	N LLP	SUN, SCOTT C		
3000 K ST	REET, NV	V		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOX IP				AKTONII	TATER NOWIBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20007				2182	
	DATE MAIL FD:		DATE MAILED: 12/05/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/718,548	TSUTSUI, TATSUMI	
Office Action	n Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Scott Sun	2182	
The MAILING DAT Period for Reply	E of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
WHICHEVER IS LONGE - Extensions of time may be avail after SIX (6) MONTHS from the - If NO period for reply is specified - Failure to reply within the set or	ER, FROM THE MAILING DA able under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 mailing date of this communication. If above, the maximum statutory period wextended period for reply will, by statute, later than three months after the mailing	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH() ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a) ☐ This action is FINA 3) ☐ Since this applicat	ion is in condition for allowar	eptember 2005. action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45		
Disposition of Claims	·			
4a) Of the above cl 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/s 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-13 and</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/s	are allowed. <u>18-30</u> is/are rejected.	re withdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers	,			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed Applicant may not re Replacement drawin	quest that any objection to the ognition to the ognition of the corrections are considered to the corrections of the correction	r. re: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj aminer. Note the attached Office	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19			
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some 1.⊠ Certified cop 2.☐ Certified cop 3.☐ Copies of the application f	* c) None of: bies of the priority documents bies of the priority documents c certified copies of the prior from the International Bureau	s have been received in Application ity documents have been received	on No d in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	DTO 802)	a> □ 1-4 : 0	(DTO 412)	
_	ent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nent(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informat Pa 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/718,548 Page 2

Art Unit: 2182

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-13, 18-30 in the reply filed on 9/29/2005 is acknowledged.

2. Claims 14-17, 31-34 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 9/29/2005.

Drawings

3. Figures 8-10 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

4. Claim 18 is objected to because of the following informality: applicant recites "in 10 response" where "in response" is expected. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 1-13, 18-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 7. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted steps are: recognizing an error has occurred by the device in response to receiving the second packet from the host apparatus. The examiner asserts that without determining that the response from host is invalid, the device and host would participate in an infinite loop of exchanging stall and clear feature commands. As stated by the claims, the device simply responds to the second packet sent by host, namely a clear feature packet, by sending a stall packet. In the USB protocol, this will simply cause the host to send another clear feature command. This will cause the claimed invention, without the essential step cited by the examiner above, to send another stall packet. Which does not serve the purpose as disclosed in the

Application/Control Number: 10/718,548 Page 4

Art Unit: 2182

specification of the invention. In particular, the examiner believes the "CF detecting portion" 19a in figure 1 and figure 7 disclosed by the applicant provide the essential step omitted in the claims. The only embodiment without the "CF detecting" portion, the second embodiment, does not fit the description of the claims. For example, in the second embodiment, an error is generating by the device and not erroneously recognized by the host. Therefore, the examiner asserts that the claims are directed towards embodiments 1 or 3, in which the function performed by "CF detecting circuit" is essential but omitted.

- 8. Claims 18-30 recites a device instead of a method. They are rejected using the same arguments as above, for missing essential element instead of step.
- 9. The following rejections are made based on the examiner's best interpretation of the claims in light of the 35 USC 112 rejections above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 11. Claims 8 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Universal Serial Bus Mass Storage Class Bulk-only Transport 1.0 (USB spec 1.0).

Application/Control Number: 10/718,548

Art Unit: 2182

12. USB spec 1.0 discloses a method/device of communicating data between a device and a host apparatus through a USB interface comprising the steps of: transmitting a first request (CBW) for data from host apparatus to the device (page 20, section 6.7.2; host requirement 1 and 2); receiving a first packet (STALL) from the device at the host apparatus in response to the first request for data (page 20, device requirement 2); transmitting a second request for data from the host apparatus to the device, in response to receiving the first packet from the device (page 20, host requirement 3 and 4); receiving a second packet (CSW) from the device at the host apparatus in response to the second request for data. The examiner asserts that the above steps are part of the basic USB handshaking communication protocol, which is well known in the art. More details of each packet: CBW, STALL, and CSW can be found in other sections of the above cited specification (paper copy attached) and also at USB developers' website at www.usb.org.

Page 5

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 13. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/718,548

Art Unit: 2182

14. Claims 1-7, 9-13, 18-24, 26-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USB spec 1.0 in view of applicant's teachings of prior art.

Page 6

15. Regarding claims 1 and 18 USB spec 1.0 discloses a method/device of communicating data between a device and a host apparatus through a USB interface comprising the steps of: transmitting a first packet (STALL) from the device to the host apparatus, the first packet being recognized by the host apparatus as a first type of packet (STALL, page 20, device requirement 2, case 4 or 5); receiving a second packet from the host apparatus at the device in response to the first packet (page 20, host requirement 3 and 4); transmitting a third packet (STALL) from the device to the host apparatus, the third packet being the first type of packet (STALL, page 20, device requirement 2, case 4 or 5; host requirement 5). The examiner asserts that according to the USB specification, if a STALL packet is received by a host, the host responds with a clear feature packet to request for CSW, the device can then again send another STALL packet, causing the host to resend request for CSW.

USB spec does not disclose explicitly erroneously recognizing a packet as a first type. However, applicant's disclosure of prior art teaches the host can erroneously recognize a packet as a first type (figure 10). Applicant's disclosed prior art and USB spec 1.0 are from same field of USB data transferring, specifically of Bulk-only transport. Therefore a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention can readily recognize that the method taught in USB spec 1.0 can be applied in the case when an erroneous recognition occurs (page 17, section 6.4, device error handling).

Art Unit: 2182

- 16. Regarding claims 2 and 19, USB spec 1.0 and applicant's teaching of prior art discloses claims 1, and USB spec 1.0 further discloses wherein the first type of packet is a STALL packet (STALL, page 20, device requirement 2, case 4 or 5).
- 17. Regarding claims 3 and 20, USB spec 1.0 and applicant's teaching of prior art discloses claims 2 and 19, and USB spec 1.0 further discloses wherein the second packet is a clear feature command packet (page 20, host requirement 3 and 4).
- 18. Regarding claims 4 and 21, USB spec 1.0 and applicant's teaching of prior art discloses claims 3 and 20, and USB spec 1.0 further discloses wherein the method further comprises the step of: receiving a fourth packet from the host apparatus at the device (page 20, host requirement 5), the fourth packet being a clear feature command packet, the fourth packet transmitted from the host apparatus in response to receiving the third packet from the device (host requirement 5, "on a STALL condition receiving the CSW").
- 19. Regarding claims 5 and 22, USB spec 1.0 and applicant's teaching of prior art discloses claims 4 and 21, and USB spec 1.0 further discloses wherein the method further comprises the step of: transmitting status information (CSW) from the device to the host apparatus, in response to receiving the fourth packet from the host apparatus (page 20, device requirement 3).
- 20. Regarding claims 6 and 23, USB spec 1.0 and applicant's teaching of prior art discloses claims 5 and 22, and applicant's teaching of prior art further discloses wherein a type of the first packet transmitted from the device is an ACK packet or a NAK packet (figure 10).

Application/Control Number: 10/718,548 Page 8

Art Unit: 2182

21. Regarding claims 7 and 24, USB spec 1.0 and applicant's teaching of prior art discloses claims 6 and 23, and USB spec 1.0 further discloses wherein the data communications is performed using USB MASS Storage Class Bulk Only Mode (USB Spec 1.0 page 5, in section titled "scope").

- 22. Regarding claims 9 and 26, USB spec 1.0 discloses the method of claim 8 (device of claim 25), but does not disclose expressly erroneously recognizing a packet as a STALL Packet. However, applicant's teaching of prior art discloses the first is transmitted from the device as an ACK packet or a NAK packet, but the host apparatus erroneously recognizes the first packet as a STALL packet (figure 10). The examiner asserts that inventions in claims 9 and 26 are similar to those of claims 1 and 18. Specifically, an ACK or NAK packet is erroneously recognized as a STALL packet. The same process disclosed by USB spec applies. See examiner's arguments for rejection of claims 1 and 18.
- 23. Regarding claims 10-13, and 27-28, the examiner finds them substantially similar to claims 2, 4-6, and 19, 21-24. Therefore the same arguments are used in rejection of these claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/718,548

Art Unit: 2182

Conclusion

24. Other publications are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to USB command packet processing. Refer to form 892, "Notice of References Cited", for a complete list of relevant prior arts cited by the examiner.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Sun whose telephone number is (571) 272-2675. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 10:30am-7pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim N. Huynh can be reached on (571) 272-4147. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SS

11/22/2005

/ KIM HUYNH PRIMARY EXAMINER

11/23/05

Page 9